Equilibrium in Hydrogen Sulfide-Monoethanolamine-Water System

Jong II Lee, Frederick D. Otto, and Alan E. Mather*

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alta., Canada

Vapor-liquid equilibrium in the hydrogen sulfidemonoethanolamine-water system was measured for two normalities (2.5 and 5.0 N) at temperatures of 25, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 $^{\circ}$ C. Partial pressures of H₂S ranged from 0.15 to 2317 kPa.

The partial pressure of hydrogen sulfide over aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) solutions has been measured by a number of workers. However, most of the data are restricted to low partial pressures and to a relatively few normalities. Riegger et al. (8) used seven MEA solutions ranging from 0.5 to 4.0 N at 25, 45, and 60 °C; partial pressures of H₂S varied between 3.3 and 93 kPa. Atwood et al. (1) presented a small amount of data for 0.83, 2.5, 3.3, and 5.0 N MEA solutions at temperatures between 80 and 160 °F; partial pressures of H₂S reached 780 kPa. Leibush and Shneerson (6) measured the partial pressures of H₂S over 0.93 and 2.5 N MEA solutions at 15, 25, and 50 °C; partial pressures of H₂S were less than 46 kPa. Muhlbauer and Monaghan (7) measured the solubility of H_2S in a 2.5 N MEA solution at 25 and 100 °C at partial pressures of H₂S below 133 kPa. Jones et al. (2) determined the solubility of H₂S in a 2.5 N MEA solution at six temperatures between 40 and 120 °C at partial pressures of H₂S up to 113 kPa.

Recently, in this laboratory (*5*), the partial pressure of H_2S over 2.5 and 5.0 N MEA solutions at 40 and 100 °C was measured. Partial pressures of hydrogen sulfide ranged between 2.1 and 4480 kPa. The present work was undertaken to extend the data to other temperatures in the range of interest of industrial processes involving the absorption of H_2S by MEA solutions.

Experimental

The MEA solutions were prepared from distilled water and commercially available MEA and charged to a windowed equilibrium cell. Equilibrium was reached by the recirculation of the vapor using a magnetic pump. Samples of the vapor and liquid were withdrawn for analysis. The equipment and methods of analysis are essentially the same as those employed in our previous studies of solubility in amine solutions (3-5).

Results and Discussion

The equilibrium solubility of H₂S in 2.5 and 5.0 N MEA solutions was measured at 25, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 °C. Partial pressures of H₂S ranged between 0.15 and 2370 kPa. Table I presents the experimental data. The results for the 2.5 N solution are plotted in Figure 1 for comparison with previous work. The present data are in good agreement with published data, except for the data of Riegger et al. (8). Comparisons at 40 and 100 °C which were made in the previous work on this system (5) also agreed well with literature data except in the region around 100 kPa H₂S partial pressure. Smoothed values for the solubility of H₂S in 2.5 and 5.0 N solutions are presented in Table II.

Table I. Experimental Data for Solubility of H₂S in MEA Solutions

α,	Mole ratio	in liquid,	H ₂ S/MEA;	p, partial	pressure
Н	₂S, kPa				

<i>T</i> , ° C	р	α	<i>T</i> , ° C	р	α
2.5 25	5 N MEA solu 1822 870.1 353.0 92.39 0.889 3.350 3.206 95.70 20.55 0.152	1.610 1.260 1.100 0.990 0.458 0.675 0.672 0.975 0.892 0.206	5.(25	0 N MEA solu 604.0 1524 701.2 373.7 373.7 33.09 9.169 0.617 5.894 2.826	1tion 1.071 1.182 1.058 1.010 1.013 0.845 0.720 0.330 0.682 0.552 0.252
40	8.756 2.923 912.2 148.2	0.702 0.513 1.182 0.989		0.303 0.303 1746 1249	0.213 1.260 1.167
€0	28.34 87.56 56.88 93.77 3.199 0.923 27.23 8.273	0.848 0.862 0.823 0.904 0.329 0.213 0.712 0.515	40	72.39 25.03 16.41 7.928 1.785 0.651 1936 1798	0.866 0.716 0.689 0.540 0.351 0.210 1.262 1.273
	1669 1.260 792.2 1.122 406.8 1.023	60	801.2 166.9 72.39	0.968 0.840 0.715	
80	144.6 1296 7.722 3.102 55.98	14.6 0.820 96 1.168 7.722 0.328 3.102 0.215 55.98 0.674		21.72 6.515 2.213 0.654 2133	0.550 0.354 0.218 0.108 1.222
	21.51 0.495 1827 1.207 855.6 1.062 331.6 0.965	80	2259 924.6 273.0 148.9	1.120 0.920 0.800 0.678	
120	383 0.75. 400 0.72. 1592 0.94. 47.4 0.31. 18.3 0.21. 115.8 0.47.	0.754 0.723 0.945 0.314 0.212 0.473		55.92 17.65 6.343 1.565 2239 1984	0.550 0.350 0.214 0.111 1.153 1.090
			100	1177 122.7 127.6 16.75 4.860 2164 1674	0.890 0.524 0.512 0.208 0.112 1.042 0.990
			120	2317 1421 824.6 433.7 77.2 221.3 32.2 9.65 2111	0.930 0.835 0.726 0.580 0.302 0.477 0.207 0.113 0.883

Table II. Smoothed Data for Solubility	∕ of H,S	in MEA	Solutions
--	----------	--------	-----------

MFA	H₂S partial N press, kPa		α, Mole ratio in liquid, H₂S/MEA Temp, °C					
Soln, N		25	40	60	80	100	120	
2.5	0.100	0.163	0.102	0.070	0.044	0.028		
	0.316	0.288	0.202	0.123	0.075	0.041	0.021	
	1.00	0.470	0.333	0.207	0.123	0.065	0.046	
	3.16	0.660	0.527	0.333	0.212	0.118	0.082	
	10.0	0.817	0.730	0.547	0.363	0.227	0.148	
	31.6	0.922	0.866	0.735	0.563	0.400	0.270	
	100	0.992	0.966	0.887	0.770	0.615	0.452	
	316	1.090	1.056	0.990	0.932	0.853	0.675	
	1000	1.295	1.227	1.158	1.067	0.990	0.888	
	2000	1.580	1.410	1.333	1.252	1.160	1.023	
5.0	0.316	0.230	0.117	0.071				
	1.00	0.402	0.237	0.138	0.088	0.043		
	3.16	0.573	0.392	0.253	0.152	0.082	0.054	
	10.0	0.723	0.583	0.418	0.260	0.153	0.111	
	31.6	0.838	0.752	0.593	0.431	0.286	0.205	
	100	0.924	0.867	0.765	0.625	0.455	0.349	
	316	1.000	0.937	0.884	0.809	0.647	0.530	
	1000	1.115	1.047	1.002	0.933	0.854	0.747	
	2000	1.390	1.225	1.153	1.070	0.990	0.892	
	2500			1.260	1.158	1.050	0.938	



Figure 1. Solubility of hydrogen sulfide in 2.5 N MEA solutions

Literature Cited

- (1) Atwood, K., Arnoid, M. R., Kindrick, R. C., Ind. Eng. Chem., 49, 1439
- Jones, J. H., Froning, H. R., Claytor, Jr., E. E., *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **4**, 85 (1959). (2)

- (1959).
 (3) Lee, J. I., Otto, F. D., Mather, A. E., *ibid.*, **17**, 465 (1972).
 (4) Lee, J. I., Otto, F. D., Mather, A. E., *ibid.*, **18**, 71 (1973).
 (5) Lee, J. I., Otto, F. D., Mather, A. E., *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, **52**, 803 (1974).
 (6) Leibush, A. G., Shneerson, A. L., *Zh. Prikl. Khim.*, **23**, 145 (1950).
 (7) Muhlbauer, H. G., Monaghan, P. R., *Oil Gas J.*, **55** (17), 139 (1957).
 (8) Riegger, E., Tartar, H. V., Lingafelter, E. C., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **66**, 2024 (1944).

Received for review July 28, 1975. Accepted December 22, 1975. Work supported by the National Research Council of Canada and the Canadian Natural Gas Processing Association.